•		1
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
2	ENGLERAL BLOTHER OF THEM.	
3	X :	CV-07-2067
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ET AL,	
5		
6	PLAINTIFFS, :	
7		
8	V.	U.S. Courthouse Brooklyn, New York
9	CITY OF NEW YORK, :	
10		
11	DEFENDANT. :	
12		October 15, 2009 2:30 o'clock p.m.
13	:	
14	X	
15 16	TRANSCRIPT OF STATUS CONFERENCE BEFORE THE HONORABLE NICHOLAS G. GARAUFIS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
17	5.11. 725	
18	APPEARANCES:	
19	For the Plaintiff:	DAVID ESKEW, AUSA
20		RICHARD LEVY, ESQ. DANA LOSSIA, ESQ.
21		·
22	For the Defendant:	WILLIAM S.J. FRANKEL, ACC
23	Court Reporter:	Sheldon Silverman
24	TOUR C NOPOLICE.	(718) 613-2537
25	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript produced by CAT.	

2 USA versus City of New York. 1 THE CLERK: 2 THE COURT: Good afternoon, everybody. I called 3 you in because I have the two letters, the letter from 4 Mr. Levy dated October 13th asking for a one-week adjournment 5 on the response on qualified immunity and the city's letter from Mr. Fraenkel objecting to it. I need to work this out. 6 7 I wish, frankly, if you could work it out amongst yourselves. 8 MR. LEVY: We have. 9 THE COURT: You have? 10 MR. LEVY: It took us to come to court. 11 THE COURT: It's so nice to have you here. Tell me 12 what you've done. 13 MR. LEVY: We actually have a proposal that would really need your approval. I would like to suggest a little 14 15 different than was in the letters. Mr. Fraenkel is in 16 agreement with this. Tell me. 17 THE COURT: 18 MR. LEVY: We would file on the 30th our papers, 19 both on qualified immunity and on summary judgment. 20 THE COURT: You moved the October 16th qualified 21 immunity and the October 23rd summary judgment to 22 October 30th, is that it? 23 MR. LEVY: Yes. 24 The city would like to respond separately on those 25 They have proposed responding on qualified two issues.

```
3
1
    immunity on the 16th.
 2
                          Of November?
              THE COURT:
 3
              MR. LEVY:
                          November; on the summary judgment,
 4
    December 2nd.
              THE COURT:
                          Instead of November 16th?
5
              MR. LEVY:
                          Yes.
                                Thanksgiving falls in there.
 6
                          That's good.
 7
              THE COURT:
8
              MR. LEVY:
                          We would then reply on everything on
    December 18th.
9
10
              THE COURT: The November 25th date on summary
    judgment reply would be December 18th?
11
              MR. LEVY:
12
                          Yes.
13
              THE COURT: As would instead of December 4th --
14
    I'm sorry.
15
              MR. LEVY:
                          We don't get a reply on qualified
16
    immunity. That's their motion. Our response would be in
17
    by --
18
              THE COURT:
                           One moment. Your summary judgment
19
    reply would be December 18th.
20
              MR. LEVY:
                          Yes.
21
              THE COURT: What about all these other dates on
22
    October 28th? I have defendant's proposed relief order class
23
    certification response.
24
                              Still good, your Honor. I would
              MR. FRAENKEL:
25
    tell you Mr. Laimonedes had to have some minor surgery. He's
```

4

1 recuperating at home, expected to be in sooner than he will 2 actually be. He's the one who is preparing our response. 3 consulted with him. He still thinks that date is fine but 4 wanted me to say if for some reason he's not able to get back, 5 he might have to write to your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Before he writes to me, you all should 7 have that conversation to avoid an extra trip. I'm fine with 8 these dates. 9 MR. LEVY: That's great. 10 MR. FRAENKEL: I'm not sure if I heard the date for 11 the trial. 12 THE COURT: That date is going to change now. 13 Obviously, we can't have a trial -- well, I could have a 14 trial but since there's a motion for summary judgment here, we 15 have the qualified immunity issue that won't be briefed until 16 November 16th. I'm going to postpone the trial, let you know 17 about that after I see the papers. 18 MR. FRAENKEL: That was really the main concern; 19 that you have enough time prior to trial to consider qualified 20 immunity. 21 THE COURT: Obviously, frankly, it's helpful the 22 mayor has now been deposed. That gives us -- I hope at 23 least -- - I haven't read the transcript. It illuminates the 24 di scussi on. We'll see. It might have been the best thing 25 done so far in terms of understanding whether qualified

5 1 immunity should be granted. I'm sure you'll have a lot to say 2 about that in your papers. 3 MR. LEVY: Yes, sir. 4 THE COURT: I'm going to so order those changes. 5 What I would simply ask for plaintiff intervenors is to send me a letter, put it up on E C F and I can so order it there as 6 7 well so that we have something in writing that's agreed to by 8 the parties. You have it in the transcript here. I've so 9 ordered it, but it's helpful to have it somewhere where you can touch it. 10 11 MR. LEVY: Thank you. 12 Anything else for today? THE COURT: 13 MR. LEVY: No. 14 THE COURT: Thanks for coming in. 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25